

REMARKS

The Examiner has rejected claims 1-6, 8-15, and 17-28 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) for obviousness relying on Blanchet-Fincher over Wolk et al. Blanchet-Fincher and the claimed invention were commonly owned at the time the claimed invention was made by E. I. du Pont de Nemours and Company. Therefore, pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 103(c), Blanchet-Fincher cannot be used to preclude patentability of the claimed invention. As the cited combination cannot set forth a *prima facie* case of obviousness, Applicant requests the withdrawal of this rejection.

In addition, claim 7 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) for obviousness relying on Blanchet-Fincher or Blanchet-Fincher over Wolk et al. and Fincher et al. Again Blanchet-Fincher and the claimed invention were commonly owned at the time the claimed invention was made by E. I. du Pont de Nemours and Company. Therefore, pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 103(c), Blanchet-Fincher cannot be used to preclude patentability of the claimed invention. As the cited combination cannot set forth a *prima facie* case of obviousness, Applicant requests the withdrawal of this rejection.

In view of the foregoing, allowance of the above-referenced application is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

/LOIS A. SANTOPIETRO/
LOIS A. SANTOPIETRO
AGENT FOR APPLICANT
Registration No.: 36,264
Telephone: (302) 892-7752
Facsimile: (302) 992-5374

Dated: July 25, 2007